Ocean Acidification Affects Prey Detection by a Predatory Reef Fish
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Abstract

Changes in olfactory-mediated behaviour caused by elevated CO2 levels in the ocean could affect recruitment to reef fish populations because larval fish become more vulnerable to predation. However, it is currently unclear how elevated CO2 will impact the other key part of the predator-prey interaction – the predators. We investigated the effects of elevated CO2 and reduced pH on olfactory preferences, activity levels and feeding behaviour of a common coral reef meso-predator, the brown dottyback (Pseudochromis fuscus). Predators were exposed to either current-day CO2 levels or one of two elevated CO2 levels (~600 µatm or ~950 µatm) that may occur by 2100 according to climate change predictions. Exposure to elevated CO2 and reduced pH caused a shift from preference to avoidance of the smell of injured prey, with CO2 treated predators spending approximately 20% less time in a water stream containing prey odour compared with controls. Furthermore, activity levels of fish was higher in the high CO2 treatment and feeding activity was lower for fish in the mid CO2 treatment; indicating that future conditions may potentially reduce the ability of the fish to respond rapidly to fluctuations in food availability. Elevated activity levels of predators in the high CO2 treatment, however, may compensate for reduced olfactory ability, as greater movement facilitated visual detection of food. Our findings show that, at least for the species tested to date, both parties in the predator-prey relationship may be affected by ocean acidification. Although impairment of olfactory-mediated behaviour of predators might reduce the risk of predation for larval fishes, the magnitude of the observed effects of elevated CO2 acidification appear to be more dramatic for prey compared to predators. Thus, it is unlikely that the altered behaviour of predators is sufficient to fully compensate for the effects of ocean acidification on prey mortality.

Introduction

Growing evidence suggests that ocean acidification, caused by rapidly increasing anthropogenic CO2 emissions, will have significant and widespread impacts on marine life [1–3]. Based on current emission trajectories, atmospheric CO2 concentrations are predicted to reach 730–1,020 parts per million (ppm) by the end of the century [4]. Corresponding increases in CO2 dissolved in the ocean may cause a reduction of 0.3–0.4 units in oceanic pH compared to current-day levels [5–6]. This departure from current-day pH levels would occur at a faster rate than has been seen at any time over the past two million years, potentially limiting the abilities of populations to adapt to such a rapid change [6–7].

The potential impact of ocean acidification on the growth and survival of marine calcifiers is well established [8–13], however the likely effects of elevated CO2 and reduced pH on non-calciﬁng organisms, such as marine fishes, is still poorly understood. Recent research has demonstrated that the ability of larval ﬁsh to detect ecologically important cues is hindered by ocean acidification [14–17]. Larval clownﬁsh exposed to elevated CO2 levels were unable to distinguish olfactory cues for suitable adult habitat, parental scent [14] and predator odour [15]. This impairment of predator cue recognition, combined with changes in behavioural boldness, was associated with a 5–9 times higher rate of mortality from predation for newly settled larvae that were reared in elevated CO2 compared with controls [18]. Such dramatic changes in mortality could have potentially serious implications for population replenishment and ecosystem diversity [18]. However, the effects of ocean acidification on predators, which are the determinant of prey mortality rates, are yet to be addressed. If ocean acidification affects both predators and prey equally, there may be no net effect on mortality rates.

Predators are vital for the maintenance of ecosystem health and for structuring marine communities [19–21]. Predation alters the community dynamics of marine populations through the reduction of prey abundance [22–23] and may influence species diversity [24–25]. However, not all predators are functionally equal, with different species exerting effects on particular species or size classes of prey. On coral reefs, predation has a highly significant effect on the recruitment rates of newly settled ﬁshes. Upon settling to the benthos, larvae are subjected to high rates of mortality, with up to 60% of recruits consumed within the first two days of settlement [20,26]. Small reef-associated predatory fishes (meso-predators)
that feed opportunistically on recruits are the major agents of this mortality [27–29].

Various sensory systems are employed in the feeding process of meso-predators, which involves; searching, detection, capture and ingestion [30]. Predators are particularly reliant on chemical and visual cues to detect prey [31]. Visual cues can be limited in aquatic environments due to habitat complexity, turbidity from suspended sediments and low light conditions [32]. Additionally, the solvent properties of water and the high persistence of chemical cues render the sense of olfaction particularly useful in marine environments [33–35]. Olfactory cues are likely to be important for meso-predators due to the small spatial scales over which they act and the topographic complexity of coral reefs. Particularly important for both predators and prey are chemical alarm cues (skin extracts), released upon mechanical damage to the skin of prey species [36]. Prey seek shelter and reduce activity levels when alarm cues are detected, whereas predators are directly attracted to chemical alarm cues of their prey [37–38].

Predation events involve two parties, predator and prey. While evaluations have been conducted on the response of prey species to ocean acidification [14–16,18], research is yet to address the threat of ocean acidification from a predator’s perspective. Therefore, in this study we aimed to investigate the influences of ocean acidification on the behaviour of a common coral reef meso-predator; the brown dotback *Pseudochromis fuscus* (Pseudochromidae). Firstly, the effects of elevated CO2 on the olfactory abilities of *P. fuscus* was evaluated using olfactory pairwise choice tests. The response of predators to prey skin extract was assessed following exposure to control (current-day CO2) or one of two elevated CO2 levels (~600 μ atm or ~950 μ atm CO2) which match low- and high-end predictions of conditions that could occur in the ocean by 2100 according to future climate change scenarios [4,39]. Secondly, the effects of similar levels of elevated CO2 on the activity levels and feeding behaviour of *P. fuscus* was investigated using behavioural assays. Understanding the effects on both predator and prey fish is crucial for determining the potential impacts of future ocean acidification on this relationship.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study site and specimen collection**

This study was conducted at Lizard Island Research Station (LIRS; 14°40′S, 145°28′E) in the northern section of the Great Barrier Reef (GBR) between March and April 2010. Experiments used the flow-through seawater system at LIRS, and the study species was collected from the nearby fringing reefs. The model predator species was *P. fuscus*, which is a common meso-predator on the GBR. *P. fuscus* occurs in high densities at Lizard Island, and is known to have a significant effect on population dynamics of common damselfish species by opportunistically feeding on recently settled juveniles [29]. *P. fuscus* were collected from shallow water reefs (~6 m) in the Lizard Island lagoon with the aid of a mild anesthetic mixture of ethanol, clove oil and seawater [40] and caught using hand-nets. Captured fish were placed in large plastic bags and transported to the research station. Fish were transferred to replicate 35 L aquariums supplied with a continuous flow of seawater diffused with one of three different CO2 treatments (see ocean acidification system). Prior to experimentation, *P. fuscus* (Total Length (TL); 64.07±1.31 mm; mean ± SE) were kept in treatment for four-seven days. Preliminary trials indicated that treatment with enriched CO2 for this period of time was sufficient to alter olfactory-mediated behaviour, as observed in studies conducted on different species [18]. Two fish were held in each aquarium, divided by a plastic mesh barrier in the centre of the tank and all were fed INVE Aquaculture Nutrition pellets once daily.

Juvenile lemon damselfish, *Pomacentrus moluccensis*, (Pomacentridae) were chosen as the ‘prey’ species from which damaged-skin-extracts were sourced as they are known prey of *Pseudochromis fuscus* [29,41] and have been used in previous chemical alarm cue experiments. *Pomacentrus moluccensis* were collected by using the same methods described above, held in 57 L tanks and fed *ad libitum* with freshly hatched *Artemia* nauplii. All procedures were approved by James Cook University Animal Ethics Committee (A1511).

**Seawater system**

*P. fuscus* were held for four–seven days in aquariums containing either control seawater or water enriched with CO2. Seawater was pumped from the ocean into 3×60 L sumps where it was diffused with air (control) or CO2 to achieve a pH of approximately 8.15 (control), 8.00 (mid) or 7.90 (high). A pH-controller (Tunze Aquarientechnik, Germany) was attached to each of the CO2 treated sumps to maintain pH at the desired level (8.00 or 7.90). A solenoid injected a slow stream of CO2 into a powerhead at the bottom of the sump whenever the pH of the seawater rose above the set point. The powerhead rapidly dissolved CO2 into the seawater and also served as a vigorous stirrer. Using this method it was possible to constantly maintain pH within ±0.05 units of the desired value. Equilibrated seawater from each sump was supplied at a rate of ~500 ml sec⁻¹ to four replicate 35 L aquariums, each housing two *P. fuscus*, as described above. To maintain oxygen levels and the required pCO2 levels, aquariums were individually aerated with air (control) or CO2 to achieve a pH of approximately 8.15 (control), 8.00 (mid) or 7.90 (high). The concentration of CO2-enriched air was controlled by a scientific-grade pressure regulator and precision needle valve and measured continuously with an infrared CO2 probe (Vaisala GM222).

Temperature and pHNBS of each aquarium was checked twice daily using a HQ40d pH meter (Hach, Colorado, USA), calibrated bi-weekly with fresh buffers (Merck). Total alkalinity of seawater (TA; mmol.kg⁻¹SW) was estimated by Gran titrations from a total of 39 water samples. Average seawater pCO2 was calculated with the parameters in the program CO2SYS using the constants of Mehrbach et al [1973] refit by Dickson and Millero [1989], pCO2 estimated by seawater chemistry during olfactory tests were 450.63±0.64 μ atm (control; mean ± SE), 630.09±0.78 μ atm (mid) and 948.94±0.78 μ atm (high; Table 1). pCO2 estimates during the activity level and feeding behaviour tests were 444.02±0.44 μ atm (control), 607.34±1.28 μ atm (mid) and 925.49±0.69 μ atm (high; Table 1).

**Experimental protocol**

**Experiment 1 – Response of Pseudochromis fuscus to prey skin extracts.** Pairwise olfactory choice trials were run in a two channel chamber (600×250×110 mm), with a water depth of 90 mm. A centered 450 mm plastic barrier divided the chamber into two compartments of equal size. The small area along the back wall of the chamber was used as an accommodation area and separated from the rest of the chamber using 5 mm rigid mesh to maintain water flow through the chamber. Seawater was pumped directly from the ocean to a common reservoir, where it was diffused with air (control) or CO2 to achieve a pH of approximately 8.15 (control), 8.00 (mid) or 7.90 (high). A pH-controller (Tunze Aquarientechnik, Germany) was attached to each of the CO2 treated sumps to maintain pH at the desired level (8.00 or 7.90). A solenoid injected a slow stream of CO2 into a powerhead at the bottom of the sump whenever the pH of the seawater rose above the set point. The powerhead rapidly dissolved CO2 into the seawater and also served as a vigorous stirrer. Using this method it was possible to constantly maintain pH within ±0.05 units of the desired value. Equilibrated seawater from each sump was supplied at a rate of ~500 ml sec⁻¹ to four replicate 35 L aquariums, each housing two *P. fuscus*, as described above. To maintain oxygen levels and the required pCO2 levels, aquariums were individually aerated with air (control) or CO2 to achieve a pH of approximately 8.15 (control), 8.00 (mid) or 7.90 (high). The concentration of CO2-enriched air was controlled by a scientific-grade pressure regulator and precision needle valve and measured continuously with an infrared CO2 probe (Vaisala GM222).

Temperature and pHNBS of each aquarium was checked twice daily using a HQ40d pH meter (Hach, Colorado, USA), calibrated bi-weekly with fresh buffers (Merck). Total alkalinity of seawater (TA; mmol.kg⁻¹SW) was estimated by Gran titrations from a total of 39 water samples. Average seawater pCO2 was calculated with the parameters in the program CO2SYS using the constants of Mehrbach et al [1973] refit by Dickson and Millero [1989], pCO2 estimated by seawater chemistry during olfactory tests were 450.63±0.64 μ atm (control; mean ± SE), 630.09±0.78 μ atm (mid) and 948.94±0.78 μ atm (high; Table 1). pCO2 estimates during the activity level and feeding behaviour tests were 444.02±0.44 μ atm (control), 607.34±1.28 μ atm (mid) and 925.49±0.69 μ atm (high; Table 1).

**Experimental protocol**

**Experiment 1 – Response of Pseudochromis fuscus to prey skin extracts.** Pairwise olfactory choice trials were run in a two channel chamber (600×250×110 mm), with a water depth of 90 mm. A centered 450 mm plastic barrier divided the chamber into two compartments of equal size. The small area along the back wall of the chamber was used as an accommodation area and separated from the rest of the chamber using 5 mm rigid mesh to maintain water flow through the chamber. Seawater was pumped directly from the ocean to a common reservoir, where it was gravity fed into two identical water outlets at the rear of the chamber at 7.21±0.77 L.min⁻¹, and exited along outlets at the front of the chamber. Rigid mesh (5 mm) was placed directly in front of the outlets to aid in laminar flow and prevent concealment of *P. fuscus*. Two identical shelters were placed in both compartments, allowing for the water to move through the hide...
Preparation of experimental stimuli. Prey cues were prepared using skin extracts from juvenile Pomacentrus moluccensis. Fish were euthanised by a quick blow to the head and placed in a clean petri dish. Vertical incisions were made along the flank of each fish using a scalpel blade, and the specimen was rinsed in 15 ml of seawater. Two damselfish (TL; 22.04±0.65 mm; mean ± SE) were used for each skin extract solution, with eight superficial cuts of similar size, made along each side of the flank to standardize for size.

Experiment 2 – Activity levels and feeding behaviour of Pseudochromis fuscus. Baseline activity levels of *P. fuscus* were recorded to discern potential differences between behaviours of fish treated with control seawater (current-day CO2 levels) and those treated with elevated levels of CO2 (mid and high CO2 treatments). Trials were carried out in 35 L aquaria (390×300×290 mm) and behaviour was recorded by video cameras to prevent observer-induced behavioural responses. A 50×50 mm grid was laid at the bottom of the aquarium and was submerged by a 10 cm hollow PVC pipe shelter placed at the centre of one end. This shelter had two exit holes which were facing the tank walls, with the curved edge of the pipe against the tank wall furthest from the video camera, which was placed on a tripod above the aquarium. Fish were placed in aquaria with aeration for an hour acclimation period prior to recording their behaviour. Trials lasted 20 minutes, during which time aeration was terminated and fish were not disturbed. Following the 20 minutes activity trial, 25 individual INVE Aquaculture Nutrition pellets were introduced to the upper left corner of the tank via a long PVC pipe, to ensure food was introduced in a novel manner and reactions were not a result of human conditioning. Feeding activity and behaviour were recorded for a further five minutes. Ten, eight and seven fish were videoed from the controls, mid and high treatments respectively. All trials were conducted in control seawater, as a pilot study and previous investigations [14–15] indicated that testing in control versus CO2 treated water has little to no effect on the results and that the effects of elevated CO2 last for a number of days when fish are placed in control water.

The first minute of each recording was excluded from analysis to control for any disturbance caused by the observer exiting the laboratory. The number of line crosses both away from the shelter (ie. parallel to the shelter) and across the tank (ie. perpendicular to the shelter) were quantified from the videos. Two estimates of
activity were calculated. First, total cumulative distance from shelter was calculated by tallying all the linear excursions during which the fish moved away from the shelter (i.e. tallying just the line crosses parallel to the shelter). Cumulative distance moved from shelter was used as an indication of boldness for these highly cryptic species. Second, the total number of line crosses (both parallel and perpendicular) were tallied as an indication of overall movement throughout the tank, not just away from the shelter. For the five minutes following the addition of food the time to respond to the presence of food and the activity levels (line crosses) were recorded. Time to respond to food was the time from the introduction of the food until the first feeding strike. Feeding strikes were identified as directed rapid movements toward the introduced food, whether they were successful bites or not.

Data analysis

The proportion of time spent in either side of the two channel chamber was determined from the total time that fish were not located in the acclimation area at the back of the chamber. Dye trials indicated that some mixing of water sources occurred in the acclimation area. Therefore, any time fish spent in this small area was not indicative of a choice, and was excluded from analysis. To determine if predators exhibited a preference for prey skin extract, the mean proportion of time spent in the stream of water containing prey skin extract was compared against the null-expectation of 0.5 for no preference. The mean time that individuals spent in the chamber channel with the prey skin extract was then compared among the control seawater and the two CO2 treatments, using a one-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) and a post-hoc Tukey’s HSD means comparison tests. Assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variance were explored using residual analysis and deemed to be satisfied.

Movement and feeding behaviour were first compared among the three treatments with a multivariate ANOVA (MANOVA). One-factor ANOVAs with planned comparisons were then used to further explore any significant differences in behaviours between the CO2 treated fish and non-treated fish. Residual analysis was used to determine whether data were normally distributed and homogenous in variance. A square-root transformation was applied in cases where data did not meet the assumptions of MANOVA.

Results

Response of *Pseudochromis fuscus* to prey skin extracts

Predators spent approximately equal proportions of time in both channels of the chamber when no additional odour was present (average proportions; Control = 0.47 ± 0.08, Mid = 0.47 ± 0.10, High = 0.50 ± 0.10; Fig. 1). *P. fuscus* treated in control seawater exhibited an attraction to the prey skin extract, spending significantly more than half their time in the compartment containing this cue (t = 2.621, df = 9, p = 0.028). In contrast, *P. fuscus* treated in CO2 enriched water spent significantly less than half their time in the channels containing prey skin extract (Mid = 2.577, df = 9, p = 0.030; High = 2.296, df = 13, p = 0.039). *P. fuscus* exposed to CO2 enriched water spent approximately 20% less time in the water stream containing prey odor compared with control fish (F2,21 = 6.354, p = 0.003; Fig. 1).

Effects on activity levels and feeding behaviour of *Pseudochromis fuscus*

Treatment in CO2 enriched water had a significant effect on movement patterns of *P. fuscus* (Pillai’s trace1,44 = 0.412, p = 0.035; Fig. 2). *P. fuscus* in the high CO2 treatment displayed activity levels double that of fish kept in control seawater, crossing 269.14 ± 55.76 (mean ± SE) lines compared to 139.16 ± 54.74 for the control fish (F2,22 = 3.459, p = 0.049 Fig. 2a). *P. fuscus* in the mid CO2 treatment showed activity levels (143.50 ± 43.97 lines) similar to that of the control fishes. The cumulative distance moved away from shelter by *P. fuscus* in all treatments was not significantly affected by CO2 treatment (F2,22 = 0.979, p = 0.39). However there was a trend for *P. fuscus* exposed to the elevated CO2 treatments to move a greater cumulative distance than *P. fuscus* in kept in control seawater (Fig. 2b).

The feeding behaviour of *P. fuscus*, was significantly affected by treatment in CO2 enriched water (Pillai’s trace1,44 = 0.402, p = 0.047; Fig. 3). Time taken to respond to the introduction of food to the tank was significantly greater for the mid CO2 treated fish (172.43 ± 38.52 sec) when compared to the controls (41.10 ± 14.70 sec; F2,21 = 4.616, p = 0.022; Fig. 3a), resulting in a delay in reaction by an average of two minutes. The effect of the high CO2 treatment on time to respond to food was less severe, with reaction times of just over one minute (65.9 seconds) slower than control fish. The feeding strikes followed similar patterns; *P. fuscus* in the mid CO2 treatment exhibited significantly fewer (8.14 ± 2.91) feeding strikes compared to control (21.1 ± 3.96) and high CO2 treated fish (21.43 ± 5.80; F2,21 = 3.504, p = 0.05; Fig. 3b).

Discussion

In this study, the first to investigate the effects of ocean acidification on the behaviour of a predatory fish, we show that the ability of a common meso-predator to detect chemical cues produced by it’s prey is hindered and activity levels are elevated by exposure to elevated CO2. *P. fuscus* naturally exhibited a preference for the olfactory cues of injured prey, however, following exposure to dissolved CO2 concentrations that could be widespread in the ocean by the end of the century [7], they displayed a slight avoidance to these cues. Predators treated in control water spent approximately 60% of their time in the chamber channel containing prey skin extracts, but this value fell to around 40% in CO2 treated fish. A change in reaction to olfactory cues is consistent with previous studies that have found that larval fish exposed to elevated CO2 were unable to discriminate between ecologically important cues, becoming
attracted to cues they normally avoided [15,18] and exhibiting reduced preference for favourable cues [14]. This diminished preference could indicate a reduced ability to recognise the olfactory cues presented and is supported by the observation that CO2 treated *P. fuscus* were more inquisitive and explored the entire chamber, compared to the more directional response towards the cue exhibited by control fish.

*P. fuscus* inhabit topographically complex reef habitats, potentially limiting the effectiveness of locating prey via visual information over long distances [42]. Olfactory cues are suggested to be important in initiating a foraging response in predators, with the release of the prey skin extract resulting in a directional movement of predators towards prey [37–38]. A shift from attraction to repulsion of favourable prey cues due to ocean acidification could result in a decrease in the predatory activity of meso-predators and a reduced ability to respond to fluctuations in food availability.

The potential exists for effects of elevated CO2 on predator behaviour to counteract the increased risk of mortality posed to prey species. It is possible that reduced attraction of predators to damage-released skin extracts of prey, or even slight avoidance of the cue, could enhance the survival of prey. However, previous research addressing the effects of elevated CO2 on newly-settled prey fishes indicates a dramatic switch from complete avoidance to strong preference for predator odour following exposure to CO2 enriched water [15]. In contrast, the present study found that following CO2 treatment predators spent 20% less time in a water stream containing the smell of injured prey.

Although both predator and prey are affected by the elevated CO2, the repercussions of the loss of predator avoidance by the settling fish is likely to be more detrimental for prey survival than can be offset by a small reduction in predator response to prey olfactory cues. This supports earlier suggestions that ocean acidification is a potential threat to replenishment of reef fish populations [14]. Further investigations addressing the loss of olfactory information coupled with other traits that are important in the outcome of predator-prey interactions, such as other sensory modalities, boldness and locomotor performance, would provide a more detailed indication of the likely impacts to future reef fish populations.

Avoidance of the beneficial olfactory cue of injured prey may be attributed to an alteration of neuro-sensory functioning following exposure to elevated CO2 [14,17–18].
The inability to distinguish between important olfactory cues, such as predator and non-predator odour [15], and reduced attraction to prey skin extract shown in this study, could be caused by impaired transfer of chemosensory signals across the olfactory epithelium or some other disruption to the olfactory nervous system. Changes in activity levels and feeding behaviour, which are not necessarily associated with olfactory sensitivity, suggest that the physiological mechanisms responsible occur at a cellular level within the nervous system. For example, cellular changes caused by acid-base regulation in CO2 exposed fish might affect neuronal pathways that mediate a range of functions, including olfactory discrimination and activity levels [17]. Detailed physiological studies would be required to test the possible mechanisms responsible for changes in olfactory responses and behaviour observed here and in previous studies.

A similar reduction in response to alarm cues has been described in freshwater fishes exposed to weakly acidic conditions [43–45]. However, the mechanisms involved are different to those responsible for the changes in behaviour observed here for P. fuscus and in larval fish exposed to elevated CO2. In the freshwater examples, exposure of the alarm cue to mineral acid (e.g. H2SO4) causes a non-reversible structural change in the alarm cue molecule, rendering it unrecognizable to the olfactory system [43]. In contrast, we presented the alarm cue in control water and it elicited a response in control fish as expected. Consequently, the changed behaviour of CO2 treated P. fuscus was due to their exposure to CO2, not a change in the alarm cue itself. Furthermore, trials associated with another study [46] demonstrated that presenting the same prey skin extracts used here in either control or CO2-enriched water elicited the same strong avoidance behavior in larval damselfishes (DM Dixson, unpublished data) indicating that elevated CO2 does not cause a chemical change in the cue in saltwater. However, it should be noted that this particular experiment does not address the possibility that in acidified water the prey alarm cues utilized could be subject to structural changes. Hearing is also affected by elevated CO2, indicating responses to CO2 are not confined to the olfactory system [17]. This is further evidence that the various behavioural effects observed are not simply due to a change in the structure of chemical cues. Nevertheless, it is intriguing that two different acidification processes both affect behavioral responses of fish to alarm cues, with potentially important consequences in freshwater and marine ecosystems.

Enhanced activity levels and altered feeding responses of P. fuscus are similar to the findings of the effects of elevated CO2 on newly settled fish. Munday and colleagues [14] found that following exposure to elevated CO2 Pomacentrus words were bolder, move further from shelter, were more active and less responsive to threats when compared to control individuals. Given that Pseudochromis fuscus themselves may be prey to larger predators, incidental movement further from shelter due to altered behaviour could increase their vulnerability. This effect however, remains to be assessed in the field to determine if changes to activity levels influence exposure of P. fuscus to larger predators.

The olfactory abilities and activity levels of fishes have previously been investigated, however this is the first demonstration of the direct influence of elevated CO2 on a predator’s response to food. Delayed feeding response of P. fuscus in mid CO2 could be attributed to their inability to detect the presence of food due to the disruption of their olfactory capabilities. For P. fuscus in the high CO2 treatment, a faster response than the mid CO2 treated fish and a similar number of feeding strikes to the control fishes might have occurred as a result of higher activity levels and greater behavioural boldness, which would facilitate food detection. Predatory fish use a variety of sensory cues to detect and locate prey, and although olfaction is often important [31], vision can also play an important role in prey detection and capture [47]. Increased activity in the high CO2 treatment could have resulted in the fish relying more on vision than olfaction to detect food. Consequently, a consideration of all sensory abilities and behaviour, not just olfaction, will be important in determining the outcome of predator-prey interactions under acidified conditions.
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